



Lecture 18: Differential Drive Robots: Motion Planning





Diff Drive Recap

Configuration Space

- A *configuration* is a complete specification of the position of every point in a robot system.
- The *configuration space* is the set of all configurations.
- We use q to denote a point in a configuration space Q.



Because our DDR can rotate in the plane, it is necessary to know both the position and the orientation of the body-attached frame to specify a configuration:

 $Q = \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, 2\pi)$

 $q=(x,y,\theta)\in Q$

If we know the configuration, $q = (x, y, \theta)$, we can compute the location of any point on the robot.



Path Planning

Path Planning

- Find a collision-free path from the starting configuration q_{init} to a goal configuration q_{goal} .
- Collision checking between the robot and obstacles can be computationally heavy, so we deal with this by mapping obstacles in the world to the robot's configuration space.
- In the configuration space, we now have the problem of finding a path for a single point (which represents the configuration of the robot).
- In general, computing this mapping can be difficult computationally, but it's not so bad for robots that translate in the plane.

Obstacles in C-Space

- Let q denote a point in a configuration space Q
- The path planning problem is to find a mapping $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Q$ s.t. no configuration along the path intersects an obstacle.
- Denote the i-th workspace obstacle by \mathcal{O}_i , and by R(q) the volume occupied by the robot at configuration q.
- A configuration space obstacle QO_i is the set of configurations q at which the robot intersects O_i

$$\mathcal{QO}_i = \{ q \in \mathcal{Q} \mid R(q) \cap \mathcal{O}_i \neq \emptyset \}$$

• The *free configuration space* (or just *free space*) Q_{free} is

$$Q_{free} = Q - \cup_i Q \mathcal{O}_i$$

• A *free path* is a mapping $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow Q_{free}$.

Disc in 2-D workspace



Example of a World (and Robot)



Configuration Space: Accommodate Robot Size



Planning a Collision-Free Path

Find a collision-free path from q_{init} to q_{goal}



Planning a Collision-Free Path

Find a collision-free path from q_{init} to q_{goal}



Planning a Collision-Free Path

Find a collision-free path from q_{init} to q_{goal}



Probabilistic Roadmaps

With so many slides and ideas from so many people, including:

Howie Choset, Nancy Amato, David Hsu, Sonia Chernova, Steve LaValle, James Kuffner, Greg Hager, Ji Yeong Lee

Completeness

\Box Complete algorithm \rightarrow Slow

- A **complete** algorithm finds a path if one exists and reports no otherwise in finite time.
- Heuristic algorithm → Unreliable (e.g., potential fields)

Probabilistic completeness

Intuition: If there is a solution path, the algorithm will find it with high probability.

The Rise of Monte Carlo Techniques

• KEY IDEA:

Rather than exhaustively explore ALL possibilities, randomly explore a smaller subset of possibilities while keeping track of progress

- Facilities "probing" deeper in a search tree much earlier than any exhaustive algorithm can
- What's the catch? Typically, we must sacrifice both *completeness* and *optimality* Classic tradeoff between solution quality and runtime performance

Sampling Based Planning:

Search for collision-free path only by sampling points.

Probabilistic Road Map (PRM)

• Probabilistic Roadmap methods proceed in two phases:

1.Preprocessing Phase – to construct the roadmap *G* **2.Query Phase** – to search given q_{init} and q_{goal}

The roadmap is an undirected graph G = (N, E). The nodes in N are a set of configurations of the robot chosen over C-free. The edges in E correspond to feasible straight-line paths.

Probabilistic Roadmap (PRM): multiple queries



Assumptions

- Static obstacles
- Many queries to be processed in the same environment
- Examples
 - Navigation in static virtual environments
 - Robot manipulator arm in a workcell
- □ Advantages:
 - Amortize the cost of planning over many problems
 - Probabilistically complete



Uniform sampling

Input: geometry of the moving object & obstacles
Output: roadmap G = (V, E)

- 1: $V \leftarrow \emptyset$ and $E \leftarrow \emptyset$.
- 2: repeat
- 3: $q \leftarrow a$ configuration sampled uniformly at random from C.
- 4: if CLEAR(q) then
- 5: Add q to V.
- 6: $N_q \leftarrow a$ set of nodes in V that are close to q.
- 6: for each $q' \in N_q$, in order of increasing d(q,q')
- 7: if LINK(q',q) then
- 8: Add an edge between q and q' to E.

Some terminology

□ The graph G is called a **probabilistic roadmap**.

□ The nodes in G are called **milestones**.

How do we determine a *random free* configuration?

 \square We want the nodes of V to be a *uniform* sampling of Q_{free}

- Draw each of its coordinates from the interval of values of the corresponding degrees of freedom. (Use the uniform probability distribution over the interval)
- Check for collision both with robot itself and with obstacles
- If collision free, add to V, otherwise discard
- What about rotations? Strategies for sampling orientation are beyond the scope of this class. Since DDRs live in the plane, we could merely sample uniformly in the interval [0, 2π].

What's the local path planner: Link(q',q)?

There are plenty of possibilities

Nondeterministic (include a randomized "wandering" component)
 We'll have to store local paths in roadmap

Powerful

Slower but maybe we'll need fewer nodes if we do some hard work during roadmap construction?

Fast and simple

Less powerful, Roadmap will need more nodes

Go with the fast local planner

- Need to make sure start and goal configurations can connect to graph, which requires a somewhat dense roadmap
- Can reuse local planner at query time to connect start and goal configurations
- Don't need to memorize local paths

Why does it work? Intuition

A small number of milestones almost "cover" the entire configuration space.



Rigorous definitions exist (of course!)

Optimizing the path

- Milestone-based paths are far from optimal and require additional refinement before they are usable
- A typical solution can look like this:



Optimizing the path

• A simple way to improve the path, is to repeatedly pick two nodes at random, and check whether they can be connected by a straight line without collision. If so, use the line to shorten the path.



Smoothing the path

• Optionally, the shortened path can then be smoothed to allow for continuous robot motion



Good news, but bad news too



Sample-based: The Good News

- 1. probabilistically complete
- 2. Do not construct the C-space
- 3. apply easily to high-dimensional C-space
- 4. support fast queries w/ enough preprocessing

Many success stories where PRMs solve previously unsolved problems



Sample-Based: The Bad News

- 1. don't work as well for some problems:
- unlikely to sample nodes in *<u>narrow passages</u>*
- hard to sample/connect nodes on constraint surfaces
- 2. No optimality or completeness

Rapidly-Exploring Random Trees (RRTs) With so many slides and ideas from so many people, including:

Howie Choset, Nancy Amato, David Hsu, Sonia Chernova, Steve LaValle, James Kuffner, Greg Hager, Ji Yeong Lee

Rapidly-Exploring Random Tree (RRT)

- Searches for a path from the initial configuration to the goal configuration by expanding a search tree
- For each step,
 - The algorithm samples a target configuration and expands the tree towards it.
 - The sample can either be a random configuration or the goal configuration itself, depends on the probability value defined by the user.

Naïve random tree

- Pick a vertex at random
- Move in a random direction to generate a new vertex
- Repeat...



Rapidly-Exploring Random Tree



The Basic Idea: Iteratively expand the tree

- Denote by T_k the tree at iteration k
- Randomly choose a configuration q_{rand}
- Choose q_{near} = arg min d(q, q_{rand})
 ▶q_{near} is the nearest existing node in the tree to q_{rand}
- Create a new node, q_{new} by taking a small step from q_{near} toward q_{rand}

Path Planning with RRTs



RRTs and Bias toward large Voronoi regions



http://msl.cs.uiuc.edu/rrt/gallery.html

Why are RRT's rapidly exploring?



The probability of a node being selected for expansion (i.e. being a nearest neighbor to a new randomly picked point) is proportional to the area of its Voronoi region.
Biases

- Bias toward larger spaces
- Bias toward goal
 - When generating a random sample, with some probability pick the goal instead of a random node when expanding
 - This introduces another parameter
 - James' experience is that 5-10% is the right choice
 - If you do this 100%, then this is a RPP

Requires the following functions:

- p = RandomSample()
 - > Uniform random sampling of free configuration space
- v = Nearest(p)
 - > Given point in Cspace, find vertex on tree that is closest to that point
- p' = Steer(p, goal)
 - \succ For a point p and a goal point, find p' that is closer to the goal than p
- ObstacleFree(p)
- Check if a given Cspace point is in the free space

RRT in Action...















































$$V \leftarrow \{x_{init}\}; E \leftarrow \emptyset$$

for $i = 1$ to N
 $G \leftarrow (V, E)$
 $x_{rand} \leftarrow RandomSample()$
 $x_{new} \leftarrow Steer(x_{nearest}, x_{rand})$
if $ObstacleFree(x_{nearest}, x_{new})$
 $V \leftarrow V \cup \{x_{new}\}$
 $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(x_{nearest}, x_{new})\}$
$$z \rightarrow 1$$







$$V \leftarrow \{x_{init}\}; E \leftarrow \emptyset$$

for $i = 1$ to N
 $G \leftarrow (V, E)$
 $x_{rand} \leftarrow RandomSample()$
 $x_{nearest} \leftarrow Nearest(G, x_{rand})$
 $if ObstacleFree(x_{nearest}, x_{new})$
 $V \leftarrow V \cup \{x_{new}\}$
 $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(x_{nearest}, x_{new})\}$
$$k \leftarrow E \cup \{(x_{nearest}, x_{new})\}$$







$$V \leftarrow \{x_{init}\}; \quad E \leftarrow \emptyset$$

for $i = 1$ to N
 $G \leftarrow (V, E)$
 $x_{rand} \leftarrow RandomSample()$
 $x_{new} \leftarrow Steer(x_{nearest}, x_{rand})$
if $ObstacleFree(x_{nearest}, x_{new})$
 $V \leftarrow V \cup \{x_{new}\}$
 $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(x_{nearest}, x_{new})\}$
$$Z \rightarrow \{x_{new} \in X_{new}\}$$
RRT



RRT



RRT

 $V \leftarrow \{x_{init}\}; \quad E \leftarrow \emptyset$ for i = 1 to N $G \leftarrow (V, E)$ $x_{rand} \leftarrow RandomSample()$ $x_{nearest} \leftarrow Nearest(G, x_{rand})$ $x_{new} \leftarrow Steer(x_{nearest}, x_{rand})$ if $ObstacleFree(x_{nearest}, x_{new})$ $V \leftarrow V \cup \{x_{new}\}$ $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(x_{nearest}, x_{new})\}$



RRT - Bias to Goal

 $V \leftarrow \{x_{init}\}; \quad E \leftarrow \emptyset$ for i = 1 to N $G \leftarrow (V, E)$ with probability p $x_{rand} \leftarrow RandomSample()$ otherwise $x_{rand} \leftarrow x_{goal}$ $x_{nearest} \leftarrow Nearest(G, x_{rand})$ $x_{new} \leftarrow Steer(x_{nearest}, x_{rand})$ if $ObstacleFree(x_{nearest}, x_{new})$ $V \leftarrow V \cup \{x_{new}\}$ $E \leftarrow E \cup \{(x_{nearest}, x_{new})\}$

Articulated Robot



Highly Articulated Robot



Hovercraft with 2 Thusters



Out of This World Demo



Left-turn only forward car



Rapidly-Exploring Random Tree (RRT)

- Advantages of RRT: very fast, works well for dynamic environments
- Disadvantages: Not optimal
 - in fact, it has been proven by Karaman & Frazzoli that the probability of RRT converging to an optimal solution is 0

Variants of RRT

• There are (very) many...



- Rapidly-exploring Random Graph (RRG):
 - Connect all vertices within neighboring region, forming a graph
- RRT*:
 - a variant of RRG that essentially "rewires" the tree as better paths are discovered.

Summary

• Both RRT and PRM are examples of **sampling based algorithms** that are **probabilistically complete**

• Definition: A path planner is *probabilistically complete* if, given a solvable problem, the probability that the planner solves the problem goes to 1 as time goes to infinity.

Links to Further Reading

- Steve LaValle's online book:
 "Planning Algorithms" (chapters 5 & 14)
 <u>http://planning.cs.uiuc.edu/</u>
- The RRT page: <u>http://msl.cs.uiuc.edu/rrt/</u>
- Motion Planning Benchmarks
 Parasol Group, Texas A&M
 <u>http://parasol.tamu.edu/groups/amatogroup/benchmarks/mp/</u>