## Sampling-Based Methods for Path Planning

With so many slides and ideas from so many people: Howie Choset, Nancy Amato, David Hsu, Sonia Chernova, Steve LaValle, James Kuffner, Greg Hager

## Difficulty with classic approaches to path planning

$\square$ Running time increases exponentially with the dimension of the configuration space.

- For a $d$-dimension grid with 10 grid points on each dimension, how many grid cells are there?


## $10^{d}$

$\square$ Several variants of the path planning problem have been proven to be PSPACE-hard.

## Completeness

$\square$ Complete algorithm $\rightarrow$ Slow

- A complete algorithm finds a path if one exists and reports no otherwise in finite time.
■ Example: visibility graph for 2D problems (translation in the plane) and polygonal robot and obstacles
$\square$ Heuristic algorithm $\rightarrow$ Unreliable
■ Example: potential field (we'll see it soon)
$\square$ Probabilistic completeness
- Intuition: If there is a solution path, the algorithm will find it with high probability.


## The Rise of Monte Carlo Techniques

- KEY IDEA:

Rather than exhaustively explore ALL possibilities, randomly explore a smaller subset of possibilities while keeping track of progress

- Facilities "probing" deeper in a search tree much earlier than any exhaustive algorithm can
- What's the catch?

Typically we must sacrifice both completeness and optimality
Classic tradeoff between solution quality and runtime performace

## Sampling Based Planning:

> Search for collision-free path only by sampling points.

## Probabilistic Roadmaps

## Probabilistic Road Map (PRM)

- Probabilistic Roadmap methods proceed in two phases:
1.Preprocessing Phase - to construct the roadmap $G$

2. Query Phase - to search given $q_{\text {init }}$ and $q_{\text {goal }}$

The roadmap is an undirected graph $G=(N, E)$. The nodes in N are a set of configurations of the robot chosen over C-free. The edges in E correspond to feasible straight-line paths.

## Probabilistic Roadmap (PRM): multiple queries



## Assumptions

$\square$ Static obstacles
$\square$ Many queries to be processed in the same environment
$\square$ Examples

- Navigation in static virtual environments
- Robot manipulator arm in a workcell
$\square$ Advantages:
- Amortize the cost of planning over many problems
- Probabilistically complete



## Overview

$\square$ Precomputation: roadmap construction

- Uniform sampling
- Resampling (expansion)
$\square$ Query processing


## Uniform sampling

```
Input: geometry of the moving object & obstacles
Output: roadmap G = (V, E)
1: V \leftarrow\varnothing and E \leftarrow\varnothing.
2: repeat
3:
4: if CLEAR(q)then
5: Add q to V.
6: }\quad\mp@subsup{N}{q}{}\leftarrowa\mathrm{ set of nodes in V that are close to q.
6: for each q' ( N N, in order of increasing d(q, q')
7: if LINK(q',q)then
8: Add an edge between q and q' to E.
```


## Some terminology

$\square$ The graph $G$ is called a probabilistic roadmap.
$\square$ The nodes in G are called milestones.

## How do we determine a random free configuration?

$\square$ We want the nodes of $V$ to be a uniform sampling of $Q_{\text {free }}$

- Draw each of its coordinates from the interval of values of the corresponding degrees of freedom. (Use the uniform probability distribution over the interval)

■ Check for collision both with robot itself and with obstacles

- If collision free, add to V , otherwise discard
- What about rotations? Strategies for sampling orientation are beyond the scope of this class. Since Duckiebots live in the plane, we could merely sample uniformly in the interval $[0,2 \pi]$.


## What's the local path planner: $\operatorname{Link}\left(q^{\prime}, q\right)$ ?

$\square$ There are plenty of possibilities

■ Nondeterministic (include a randomized "wandering" component)
$\square$ We'll have to store local paths in roadmap

- Powerful
$\square$ Slower but maybe we'll need fewer nodes if we do some hard work during roadmap construction?
- Fast and simple
- Less powerful, Roadmap will need more nodes


## Go with the fast local planner

$\square$ Need to make sure start and goal configurations can connect to graph, which requires a somewhat dense roadmap
$\square$ Can reuse local planner at query time to connect start and goal configurations

- Don't need to memorize local paths


## Distance Functions: $d\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)$

$\square$ Really, $d$ should reflect the likelihood that the planner will fail to find a path

- close points, likely to succeed
- far away, less likely
$\square$ This is often related to the area swept out by the robot along the local path:
- very hard to compute exactly
- usually heuristic distance is used
$\square$ Typical approaches
- Euclidean distance on some embedding of c-space
- Create a weighted combination of translation and rotational "distances"
- Weighted sum of distances for a set of "control points" on the robot


## Difficulty

$\square$ Many small connected components


## Resampling (expansion)

$\square$ Failure rate

$$
r(q)=\frac{f(q)}{n(q)+1}
$$

$\square$ Weight

$$
w(q)=\frac{r(q)}{\sum_{p} r(p)}
$$

$\square$ Resampling probability

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(q)=w(q)
$$

- $f(q)=\#$ of failed attempts to connect $q$ to the roadmap
- $n(q)=$ total \# of attempts to connect $q$ to the roadmap


## Now that we have weights...

- To expand a node c, we compute a short random-bounce walk starting from $c$.

This means

- Repeatedly pick at random a direction of motion in C-space and move in this direction until an obstacle is hit.
- When a collision occurs, choose a new random direction.
- The final configuration $n$ and the edge $(c, n)$ are inserted into the roadmap and the path is memorized.
- Try to connect n to the other connected components like in the construction step.
- Weights are only computed once at the beginning and not modified as nodes are added to the roadmap.


## Resampling (expansion)



## Query processing

$\square$ Connect $q_{\text {init }}$ and $q_{\text {goal }}$ to the roadmap
$\square$ Start at $q_{\text {init }}$ and $q_{\text {gaal }}$, perform a random walk, and try to connect with one of the milestones nearby
$\square$ Try multiple times

## Error

$\square$ If a path is returned, the answer is always correct.
$\square$ If no path is found, the answer may or may not be correct. We hope it is correct with high probability.

## Why does it work? Intuition

$\square$ A small number of milestones almost "cover" the entire configuration space.

$\square$ Rigorous definitions and exist (of course!)

